

A GENERALIZATION OF A LEMMA OF ABHYANKAR AND MOH

Stuart Sui-Sheng WANG*

*Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48063, USA
and Dept. of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA*

Communicated by H. Bass
Received 28 December 1984

In a fundamental paper [1], Abhyankar and Moh proved three important theorems: the Epimorphism Theorem, Automorphism Theorem and Embedding Theorem. Their proof made use of the following lemma [1, (2.5) Corollary, p. 256]: Let k be a ring and let $\alpha: k[X_1, \dots, X_p] \rightarrow k[Z]$ be a k -algebra homomorphism between two polynomial rings over k . Assume that α is surjective and $\text{Ker } \alpha$ can be generated by $p-1$ elements, and let f_2, \dots, f_p be any such elements. Then

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\partial(f_2, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu)}\right)k[Z] = \frac{d\alpha(X_\mu)}{dZ} k[Z] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \mu \leq p.$$

Here

$$\frac{\partial(f_2, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu)}$$

denotes the determinant of the Jacobian matrix

$$\left[\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial X_j} \right]_{j=1, \dots, \mu-1, \mu+1, \dots, p}^{l=2, \dots, p}.$$

They gave two proofs of this lemma, one in high school algebra [1, pp. 152–155], one in college algebra [1, pp. 156–158]. In this short note, we generalize this lemma and use junior-high algebra to prove it. We let

$$\frac{\partial(f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)}$$

denote the determinant of the matrix obtained from

$$\left[\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial X_j} \right]_{j=1, \dots, p}^{l=q+1, \dots, p}$$

by deleting the columns μ_1, \dots, μ_q .

* The author acknowledges helpful discussions with his colleague, J.H. McKay.

Theorem. Let k be a commutative ring with identity, let $\alpha: k[X_1, \dots, X_p] \rightarrow k[Z_1, \dots, Z_q]$ be a k -algebra homomorphism between two polynomial rings over k , and let h_i be the image of X_i under α for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Assume that α is surjective (so that $p \geq q$) and the kernel of α can be generated by $p - q$ elements, say,

$$\text{Ker } \alpha = (f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p).$$

Then

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\partial(f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)}\right) k[Z_1, \dots, Z_q] = \frac{\partial(h_{\mu_1}, \dots, h_{\mu_q})}{\partial(Z_1, \dots, Z_q)} k[Z_1, \dots, Z_q]$$

for $1 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_q \leq p$.

Proof. Since α is surjective, there exist $t_1, \dots, t_q \in k[X_1, \dots, X_p]$ such that $t_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z_1, \dots, t_q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z_q$. Then $X_i - h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and hence

$$X_i - h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \sum_{l=q+1}^p r_{i,l} f_l$$

for some $r_{i,l} \in k[X_1, \dots, X_p]$. Applying $\partial/\partial X_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{\partial h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\partial X_j} + \sum_l \frac{\partial r_{i,l}}{\partial X_j} f_l + \sum_l r_{i,l} \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial X_j}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Applying α , we have

$$\delta_{ij} = \alpha\left(\frac{\partial h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\partial X_j}\right) + \sum_l \alpha(r_{i,l}) \alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial X_j}\right).$$

In matrix notation, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{p \times p} = \left[\alpha\left(\frac{\partial h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\partial X_j}\right) \right]_{j=1, \dots, p}^{i=1, \dots, p} + [\alpha(r_{i,l})]_{l=q+1, \dots, p}^{i=1, \dots, p} \times \left[\alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial X_j}\right) \right]_{j=1, \dots, p}^{l=q+1, \dots, p},$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{p \times p}$ denotes the p -by- p identity matrix.

The chain rule states that

$$\left[\alpha\left(\frac{\partial h_i(t_1, \dots, t_q)}{\partial X_j}\right) \right]_{j=1, \dots, p}^{i=1, \dots, p} = \left[\frac{\partial h_i(Z_1, \dots, Z_q)}{\partial Z_k} \right]_{k=1, \dots, q}^{i=1, \dots, p} \times \left[\alpha\left(\frac{\partial t_k}{\partial X_j}\right) \right]_{j=1, \dots, p}^{k=1, \dots, q}.$$

Therefore, if we let

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Z_q} & \alpha(r_{1,q+1}) & \dots & \alpha(r_{1,p}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_p}{\partial Z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_p}{\partial Z_q} & \alpha(r_{p,q+1}) & \dots & \alpha(r_{p,p}) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\left(\frac{\partial t_1}{\partial X_1}\right) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha\left(\frac{\partial t_1}{\partial X_p}\right) \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \alpha\left(\frac{\partial t_q}{\partial X_1}\right) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha\left(\frac{\partial t_q}{\partial X_p}\right) \\ \alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_{q+1}}{\partial X_1}\right) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_{q+1}}{\partial X_p}\right) \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_p}{\partial X_1}\right) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha\left(\frac{\partial f_p}{\partial X_p}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$(*) \quad \mathbf{I}_{p \times p} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{J}.$$

Taking the q th compound (i.e., the q th exterior power) leads to

$$\mathbf{I}_{\binom{p}{q} \times \binom{p}{q}} = \mathbf{H}^{(q)} \mathbf{J}^{(q)}$$

where $\binom{p}{q} = p! / q!(p-q)!$. Multiplying on the right by the q th adjugate compound of \mathbf{J} it follows that

$$\mathbf{I}_{\binom{p}{q} \times \binom{p}{q}} (\text{adj}^{(q)} \mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{H}^{(q)} \mathbf{J}^{(q)} (\text{adj}^{(q)} \mathbf{J}),$$

i.e.,

$$\text{adj}^{(q)} \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{H}^{(q)} (\det \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{I}_{\binom{p}{q} \times \binom{p}{q}},$$

i.e.,

$$\text{adj}^{(q)} \mathbf{J} = (\det \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{H}^{(q)}.$$

Consequently,

$$(**) \quad \alpha\left(\frac{\partial(f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)}\right) = (\det \mathbf{J}) \frac{\partial(h_{\mu_1}, \dots, h_{\mu_q})}{\partial(Z_1, \dots, Z_q)}.$$

However, $(*)$ implies that $1 = (\det \mathbf{H})(\det \mathbf{J})$ which shows that $\det \mathbf{J}$ is invertible in $k[Z_1, \dots, Z_q]$. This together with $(**)$ implies that

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\partial(f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p)}{\partial(X_1, \dots, X_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial(h_{\mu_1}, \dots, h_{\mu_q})}{\partial(Z_1, \dots, Z_q)}$$

generate the same ideal in $k[Z_1, \dots, Z_q]$.

References

- [1] S.S. Abhyankar and T.T. Moh, Embeddings of the line in the plane, J. reine angew. Math. 276 (1975) 148–166. MR 52 #407. Zbl. 332. 14004.
- [2] A.C. Aitken, Determinants and Matrices, 9th edition (Interscience, New York, 1956). MR 1, 35.